01-70/2

7 SEP 1960

Dr. George B. Kistiakowsky.

Special Assistant to the President
for Science and Technology
The White House
Washington, D. C.

Dear George:

I was pleased to receive a copy of the thoughtful and perceptive letter Admiral Berkmer sent you on 15 July. Members of my staff have discussed his observations at some length, and I want to convey to you our general analysis of them at this time.

We fully share Admiral Berkner's concern over the present intentions of the Soviet leaders and in our own thinking on the subject always take into account the possibility that they may be making radical military preparations. A government as obsessed with secrecy so that of the USSR naturally generates suspicion that it has something to hide. In our experience, however, there has never been any significant let-down in Soviet security precautions, and any increase in the degree of stress the Soviets place on security publicly seems to us designed to serve some other purpose than providing additional concealment.

Approved For Release 20020 WE CHARTING BOTES AND CONTRACT OF THE PROPERTY OF T

As Admiral Berkner notes by inference, the Seviet Union has, since the breakdown of the Summit Conference, embarked on a new effort to designate the United States. We view this as an attempt to underwine America's position as leader of the West rather than as a campaign to prepare the "national attitude" of the Seviet people for hostilities. We see a considerable element of caution in the propaganda fed to the Bloc internally, particularly as compared with the Koreas war period. Furthermore, is conjunction with the growing aggressiveness of Soviet tactics since May, Threshchev has been careful to reiterate with increased emphasis the inconceivability of major warfare. We cannot, of course, state eategorically that all this is not part of a grand deception scheme to prevent the West from reaching the very conclusion which Admiral Berkser suggests. The possibility of deception is always a major intelligence worry. But the "mational attitude" argument does not at this time seem to support the idea of active proparation.

-3-

A recent review of the estimate of Seviet ICBE capabilities does not attribute to the USER at this time a force which would justify in the Soviet mind the taking of any serious risks of nuclear war. In fact, we believe that the Soviet deployment of ICBH's is in a very early stage.

SHINED

Allen V. Dulles Director

Originator: OCI/GenDiv/ crl(19 Aug 60)

Distribution:

Orig. & 1 - Addressee

1 - DCI

1 - DDCI

1 - EX.REG.

1 - AD/CI

25X1

Del	
MEMORANDUM FOR:	
Jack:	
When Mr. Dulles last read the proposed	
letter to Dr. Kistiakowsky, he asked that the	
whole file be reassembled. Here it is.	
He also said that he wasn't sure he agree	
with the draft reply as it stood and wanted to s	see
it again.	
1 Sept	
(DATE)	
RM NO. 101 REPLACES FORM 10-101	4 - 7

STAT

STAT

TATALOG A GIGINAL MAIL	SO 2002/00/2 AT CAN ECK CLASSIF7CATION	TIAL	SECRET	
UNCLASSIFIED	RAL INTELLIGENCE AC	GENCY		
CENI	CIAL ROUTING	SLIP		
		INITIALS	DATE	
NAME AN	ND ADDRESS			
Dei-				
			<u> </u>	
	nen V	PREPA	RE REPLY	
ACTION	DIRECT REPLY DISPATCH		RECOMMENDATION	
APPROVAL	FILE	1 1 1 1 1 1	RETURN	
COMMENT	INFORMATION	SIGNA	TURE	
CONCURRENCE				
marks: be	have for	ins.	r hu	
,,,,,,,				

ILLEGIB

25X1

UNCLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL (40)

\$\pmu_{\text{U}} \text{V. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1955 -0-342531}